



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/716,907		11/20/2000	Geert Florimond Gerard Depovere	PHN 17,772	PHN 17,772 8131	
24737	7590	11/29/2005		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS IN	TELLE	CTUAL PROPER	SHERKAT, AREZOO			
P.O. BOX 30	001			· ·		
BRIARCLIF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2131	•	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/716,907	DEPOVERE ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS.	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th			er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	•	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			, 110 100000 101
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jootou olumno.	
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-7,9 and 10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the affidavit or other evidence is entered.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered buseling See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. 🔲 Other: ____

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Rao et al., fails to teach that the selected watermark is one of the sets of basic watermark patterns (Remarks, Page 3). Examiner responds that Rao et al., discloses a watermark image being selected (Col. 5, lines 8-48). It is also implied that the watermark image in fact comprises of a collection of basic watermarks in the same way that an image is a collection of pixels (Fig. 2-3).

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CELTURE